IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

CIVIL CASE NO. 1:16-cv-00213-MR CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:14-cr-00050-MR-DLH-1

STEPHEN LEE BAKER,))
Petitioner,))
vs.) ORDER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) \
Respondent.	,))

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the motion of the United States requesting that the Court enter an order holding this action in abeyance. [CV Doc. 3].¹ According to the government's motion, defense counsel does not object to its request. [Id.].

Petitioner pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine, and aiding and abetting the same, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (2). [CR Doc. 39]. The presentence report noted

_

¹ Citations to the record herein contain the relevant document number referenced preceded by either the letters "CV" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the civil case file number 1:16-cv-213-MR, or the letters "CR" denoting the document is listed on the docket in the criminal case file number 1:140-cr-50-MR-DLH-1.

that Petitioner had two prior qualifying convictions that triggered the Career Offender enhancement under § 4B1.2 of the Sentencing Guidelines: (1) a 2007 Georgia conviction for felony aggravated assault, and (2) a 2010 Georgia conviction for felony trafficking in methamphetamine. The Court sentenced Petitioner as a Career Offender to 120 months' imprisonment. [Id.].

On June 24, 2016, Petitioner commenced this action by filing a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. [CV Doc. 1]. In his petition, Petitioner contends that, in light of <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), his prior Georgia conviction for felony aggravated assault no longer qualifies as a "crime of violence" under the Guidelines. [<u>Id.</u> at 4-5]. Consequently, Petitioner argues his Career Offender designation is improper and, thus, his sentence is unlawful. [Id.].

In response to the petition, the government has filed a motion to hold this proceeding in abeyance. [CV Doc. 3]. The government notes that this case will be affected by the Supreme Court's decision next Term in Beckles v. United States, 616 Fed. Appx. 415 (11th Cir.), cert. granted, 2016 WL 1029080 (U.S. June 27, 2016) (No. 15-8544). [Id. at 2]. One of the questions presented in Beckles is whether Johnson applies retroactively to cases collaterally challenging federal sentences enhanced under the residual

clause in U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a)(2). The residual clause invalidated in <u>Johnson</u> is identical to the residual clause in the Career Offender provision of the Guidelines, § 4B1.2(a)(2) (defining "crime of violence").

Based upon the reasons given by the government, and without objection by Petitioner, the Court concludes that the government's motion should be granted.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the government's motion to place this case in abeyance [CV Doc. 3], is hereby **GRANTED** and this matter is hereby held in abeyance pending the Supreme Court's decision in <u>Beckles</u>. Thereafter, the government shall have 60 days from the date the Supreme Court decides <u>Beckles</u> within which to file its response in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: September 13, 2016

Martin Reidinger

United States District Judge